

Overview

Stakeholders

During the 2005-2006 school year, a Teacher Evaluation Team was established to create a new evaluation system, modeled after Charlotte Danielson's work. This team included certified staff and administrators from elementary, middle and high school levels. The team has continued to meet a minimum of two times per year. A survey is administered each spring to all district certified staff to gather input and receive feedback on our evaluation system.

The 2010-11 Teacher Evaluation Team, which included all school administrators and a school board member in addition to certified staff members, utilized the work of Dr. Paula Bevan to thoroughly review and revise the Teacher Evaluation system. The 2011-12 Teacher Evaluation Team continued revising the Teacher Evaluation and created evaluation systems for Counselors, Instructional Facilitators, Library/Media Specialists, Nurses, School Psychologists, Therapeutic Specialists and Title I Teachers. Each group's evaluation system was subsequently reviewed by group members.

2010-11 Teacher Evaluation Team	2011-12 Teacher Evaluation Team
Stefanie Bell, School Board Member	Stefanie Bell, School Board Member
Betsy Sell, Assistant Superintendent and Curriculum Director	Betsy Sell, Assistant Superintendent and Curriculum Director
Dr. Kip Hanich, Special Education Director	Dr. Kip Hanich, Special Education Director
Brandon Jensen, Principal	Brandon Jensen, Principal
Brenda Farmer, Principal	Brenda Farmer, Principal
Tom Cook, Principal	Tom Cook, Principal
Jill Donley, Principal	Jill Donley, Principal
Larry Gerber, Principal	Tim Foley, Principal
Jeremiah Johnston, Assistant Principal	Jeremiah Johnston, Assistant Principal
Sean Murray, Assistant Principal	Sean Murray, Assistant Principal
Kelly Merager, Assistant Principal	Kelly Merager, Assistant Principal
Dorla Herrod, Certified Teacher	Niki Tisthammer, Certified Title I Teacher
Niki Tisthammer, Certified Title I Teacher	Jann Ellsbury, Certified Teacher
Jann Ellsbury, Certified Teacher	Susie Mann, Certified Special Education Teacher
Susie Mann, Certified Special Education Teacher	Teresa Decker, Certified Teacher
Teresa Decker, Certified Teacher	Anissa Bree, Certified Teacher
Anissa Bree, Certified Teacher	Julie Sax, Certified Instructional Facilitator
Dean Olenik, Certified Teacher	
Julie Sax, Certified Instructional Facilitator	



Performance Criteria

The PCSD #6 evaluation system is aligned with the standards of the Wyoming Professional Teaching Standards Board and is research based in accordance with Charlotte Danielson's Enhancing Professional Practice: A Framework for Teaching and The Framework for Teaching Evaluation Instrument, 2013 Edition. ETS Pathwise "Components of Professional Practice" was used as an additional resource to further develop the performance description (criteria) of each component of professional practice.

- The primary objective of an evaluation system is to improve performance through professional growth and development and to facilitate a positive learning environment in which both students and certified staff experience success, growth, and achievement.
- Evaluation is a collaborative, continual improvement process based on clear expectations, and objective data, in which competence is verified, areas of needed growth are identified, strengths are assessed, and excellence is acknowledged. The evaluation requires professional growth in which employees are empowered to be reflective and self-directed.
- The supervision and evaluation process supports the belief that each person is a unique individual, whose differences allow him/her to demonstrate proficiency in a variety of ways. Supervisors are encouraged to recognize and support these differences.

Professional Growth

As part of the evaluation process, all PCSD #6 certified staff are required to complete a Professional Growth Plan linked to individual and collective professional growth. The Professional Growth Plan targeted area of growth/improvement is identified collaboratively through the evaluation process from the previous year(s), including observations, self-assessment/reflection conference, post-observation conference and professional growth plan. Feedback is provided to the certified staff member as described in the Track 1, 2 and 3 system of evaluation cycle.

Training of Evaluators

Training of evaluators involves workshops, discussions and/or book studies at the district level. These have included: Enhancing Professional Practice: A Framework for Teaching, 1st and 2nd editions, The Framework for Teaching Evaluation Instrument, 2013 Edition, Pathwise Components of Professional Practice (© 2001), Dr. Paula Bevan's "Tools for Teacher Evaluation" (© 2010), and Charlotte Danielson training. Certified Evaluation Team meetings, held at least three times per year, provide the opportunity for discussion and reflection on evaluation practices. Training for evaluators will occur at a minimum of once every three years.

Data Collection

The collection process includes the types of data and how it will be collected. The data and artifacts collected are clearly tied to certified staff and student performance criteria.

Student Performance

The district uses multiple student performance data for each employee to review student growth. The certified staff Professional Growth Plan identifies and explains the expected impact on student performance as expressed through the teacher's established PGP goal(s).

Evaluation Cycles/Contracts

As part of the evaluation process, all PCSD #6 certified staff are required to complete a Professional Growth Plan linked to individual and/or collective professional growth. The Professional Growth Plan targeted area of growth/improvement is identified through the evaluation process from the previous year(s), including observations, post-observation conferences and professional growth plan. Feedback is provided to the certified staff member throughout the evaluation process.



Description of Non-Continuing Contract Performance Evaluation Cycle

Date	Years 1 - 3	Years 4+	(Timelii	Plan of Assistance* ne determined by administration)
October 15	Professional Growth Plan written and approved	Professional Growth Plan written and approved	*TBD	Plan of Assistance goals and activities written by administration for teacher
December 15	First Summative Evaluation Report (includes self- assessment/reflection conference)	Optional Review of Professional Growth Plan	*TBD	First Summative Evaluation Report (includes post observation conference)
February 15	Optional Mid Year Growth Plan Review	Optional Mid Year Growth Plan Review		N/A
April 5	Second Summative Evaluation Report (includes self- assessment/reflection conference)	Summative Evaluation Report (includes self- assessment/reflection conference)	April 5	Second Summative Evaluation Report (includes post observation conference)
April 15	Notification of employment recommendation	Notification of employment recommendation	April 15	Notification of employment recommendation
June 1	Professional Growth Plan Evaluation Report (includes post conference)	Professional Growth Plan Evaluation Report (includes post conference)		N/A

Years 1 - 3 School Psychologists

- Will be evaluated using the formal, Summative Evaluation Report based on the Domains and Components of Professional Practice.
- Will be evaluated using the Professional Growth Plan Evaluation Report, which is based on the individual's Professional Growth Plan.
- The deadline for notification of employment recommendation is April 15.

Years 4+ School Psychologists

- Will be evaluated using the formal, Summative Evaluation Report based on the Domains and Components of Professional Practice.
- Will be evaluated using the Professional Growth Plan Evaluation Report, which is based on the individual's Professional Growth Plan.
- The deadline for notification of employment recommendation is April 15.

* Plan of Assistance School Psychologists

- A School Psychologist may be placed on a **Plan of Assistance** at any time during the evaluation cycle and timeline is determined by administration.
- When a School Psychologist is placed on a Plan of Assistance their current Professional Growth Plan is suspended. They work on the assigned activities specific to their Plan of Assistance.
- It is required that a School Psychologist on a Plan of Assistance receives a **minimum** of two summative evaluations each year. These evaluations may or may not be completed according to the timeline in the chart. The deadline for completion of both summative evaluations is April 5.
- The deadline for notification of employment recommendation is April 15.



Description of Continuing Contract Performance Evaluation Cycle

Date	Continuing Contract		Plan of Assistance* e determined by administration)
October 15	Professional Growth Plan written and approved	*TBD	Plan of Assistance goals and activities written by administration for teacher
December 15	Optional Review of Professional Growth Plan	*TBD	First Summative Evaluation Report (includes post observation conference)
February 15	Optional Mid Year Growth Plan Review		N/A
April 5	Summative Evaluation Report (includes self- assessment/reflection conference)	April 5	Second Summative Evaluation Report (includes post observation conference)
April 15	Notification of renewal	April 15	Notification of employment recommendation
June 1	Professional Growth Plan Evaluation Report (includes post conference)		N/A

Continuing Contract School Psychologists

- Will be evaluated using the formal, Summative Evaluation Report based on the Domains and Components of Professional Practice.
- Will be evaluated using the Professional Growth Plan Evaluation Report, which is based on the individual's Professional Growth Plan.
- The deadline for notification of renewal is April 15.

* Plan of Assistance School Psychologists

- A School Psychologist may be placed on a **Plan of Assistance** at any time during the evaluation cycle and timeline is determined by administration.
- When a School Psychologist is placed on a Plan of Assistance their current Professional Growth Plan is suspended. They work on the assigned activities specific to their Plan of Assistance.
- It is required that a School Psychologist on a Plan of Assistance receives a **minimum** of two summative evaluations each year. These evaluations may or may not be completed according to the timeline in the chart. The deadline for completion of both summative evaluations is April 5.
- The deadline for notification of employment recommendation is April 15.



Description of Performance Evaluation Procedures

Evaluation Cycle	Procedures					
Years 1-3	Professional Growth Plan required					
	Two Self-Assessment/Reflection Conferences					
	Two Summative Evaluation Reports to include Post Conferences					
Years 4+	Professional Growth Plan required					
	One Self-Assessment/Reflection Conference					
	One Summative Evaluation Report to include Post Conference					
Plan of Assistance	Current Professional Growth Plan is suspended when a School Psychologist is placed on a Plan of Assistance					
	Timeline is determined by administration					
	Plan of Assistance is given to the School Psychologist by their evaluator and details the required growth/improvement					
	activities					
	Two Post-Observation Conferences					
	Two Summative Evaluation Reports					
	• To be recommended for rehire, the School Psychologist must demonstrate proficiency in all Domains and in the majority					
	of the Components under each Domain					
	A School Psychologist may be on a Plan of Assistance for not more than two consecutive years					



Rubric for Professional Growth Plan

Circle or highlight the descriptors that describe the plan being evaluated. Record findings on the Professional Growth Plan Evaluation Report.

Criteria	Unsatisfactory	Developing	Proficient	Distinguished
Plan Approval Process	Little to no preparation evident before conference	Some preparation evident before conference	 Was prepared with a written draft of PGP Clearly explained ideas, activities and plan. Links plan to established goals in the district. 	All of Proficient plus states personal and professional reasons that emphasize the importance of completing the activities in the PGP
Plan Quality	Vague or non existent connection to Domains, Components, district, school, grade level, department initiatives and impact student achievement Plan does not have a solid basis. School Psychologist should start over	Ties to Domains, Components, district, school, grade level, department initiatives and impact on student achievement are weak Plan needs rework and revision	Plan clearly ties to Domains, Components, district, school, grade level, department initiatives and impact on student achievement Plan is well thought out and designed Plan includes a timeline for activities	All of Proficient plus School Psychologist has initiated activities on their own beyond the scope of the plan
Plan Organization	Difficult to detect a pattern of logical organization	Sequence and order are questionable or confusing	Plan has a clear direction and logical, sequence	All of Proficient plus plan has a clear order and sequence and explanatory details
Rigor of Plan and Resources	Plan is simplisticResources not considered	Plan is too narrow and simplistic or too grandiose Resources not fully considered or unreasonable	Plan is reasonable and realistic but also important and rigorous Resources are reasonable and attainable	All of Proficient plus School Psychologist has a method for obtaining the necessary resources
Plan Activities	Activities are simplistic or grandiose and are misaligned with district or school initiatives	Activities are too easy or too complex and are somewhat misaligned with district or school initiatives Amount of time for activities not considered	Activities are within the scope of the School Psychologist to accomplish Activities take an appropriate amount of time to accomplish	All of Proficient plus the activities have the potential to powerfully impact the ongoing improvement of teaching and learning
Plan Results	Results are not noted or are incomplete	Plan results are evident but difficult to understand or connect to district or school initiatives	Results are summarized Results are organized Results are clear evidence of impacting district or school initiatives	All of Proficient plus results are displayed in an easy to understand and easy to share format
Impact of Plan on Student Performance	Little to no evidence of activities being connected to improving student performance	Connections to improving student performance are unclear	Clear explanation and evidence of the expected impact on student performance is provided Include specified validated assessment to be used	All of Proficient plus a plan to gather follow-up data on student performance is included
Sharing Results	Little to no plan for sharing the results	School Psychologist plan to share results is unformed, sketchy and unclear	School Psychologist has a plan to share the results of their efforts with colleagues	All of Proficient plus details the materials to be shared with colleagues



SELF-ASSESSMENT RUBRIC						
Psychologist Date Evaluator Date						
Domain 1: Planning and Preparation						

Component	Unsatisfactory	Developing	Proficient	Distinguished
1a: Demonstrating knowledge and skill in using psychological instruments to evaluate students	Psychologist demonstrates little or no knowledge and skill in using psychological instruments to evaluate students.	Psychologist demonstrates limited knowledge and skill in using psychological instruments to evaluate students.	Psychologist demonstrates solid knowledge and skill in using psychological instruments to evaluate students.	Psychologist demonstrates extensive knowledge of and uses a wide range of psychological instruments to evaluate students.
1b: Demonstrating knowledge of child and adolescent development and psychopathology	Psychologist demonstrates little or no knowledge of child and adolescent development and psychopathology.	Psychologist demonstrates basic knowledge of child and adolescent development and psychopathology.	Psychologist demonstrates thorough knowledge of child and adolescent development and psychopathology.	Psychologist demonstrates extensive knowledge of child and adolescent development and psychopathology and knows variations of the typical patterns.
1c: Establishing recommendations for student IEPs appropriate to the setting and the students served	Psychologist has no clear recommendations for student IEPs, or they are inappropriate to either the situation or the needs of the students.	Psychologist's recommendations for student IEPs are rudimentary and are partially suitable to the situation and the needs of the students.	Psychologist's recommendations for student IEPs are clear and appropriate to the situation in the school and the needs of the students.	Psychologist's recommendations for student IEPs are highly appropriate to the situation in the school and the needs of the students and have been developed following consultations with students, parents, and colleagues.
1d: Demonstrating knowledge of state and federal regulations and of resources both within and beyond the school and district	Psychologist demonstrates little or no knowledge of governmental regulations or of resources for students available through the school or district.	Psychologist displays awareness of governmental regulations and of resources for students available through the school or district, but no knowledge of resources available more broadly.	Psychologist displays awareness of governmental regulations and of resources for students available through the school or district and some familiarity with resources available in the community.	Psychologist's knowledge of governmental regulations and of resources for students is extensive, including those available through the school or district and in the community.
1e: Planning the psychology program, integrated with student IEPs, to meet the needs of individual students	Psychologist's plan consists of a random collection of unrelated activities, lacking coherence or an overall structure.	Psychologist's plan has a guiding principle and includes a number of worthwhile activities, but some of them don't fit with the broader goals.	Psychologist has developed a plan that includes the important aspects of work in the setting.	Psychologist's plan is highly coherent and preventive and serves to support students individually, within the broader educational program.
If: Developing a plan to evaluate the psychology program	Psychologist has no plan to evaluate the program or resists suggestions that such an evaluation is important.	Psychologist has a rudimentary plan to evaluate the psychology program.	Psychologist's plan to evaluate the program is organized around clear goals and the collection of evidence to indicate the degree to which the goals have been met.	Psychologist's evaluation plan is highly sophisticated, with imaginative sources of evidence and a clear path toward improving the program on an ongoing basis.



SELF-ASSESSMENT RUBRIC						
Psychologist	Date	Evaluator	Date			
Domain 2: The Environment						

Component	Unsatisfactory	Developing	Proficient	Distinguished
2a:	Psychologist's interactions with	Psychologist's interactions are a mix of	Psychologist's interactions with	Students seek out the psychologist,
Establishing rapport with students	students are negative or	positive and negative; the psychologist's	students are positive and respectful;	reflecting, a high degree of comfort
	inappropriate.	efforts at developing rapport are partially	students appear comfortable with the	and trust in the relationship.
		successful.	professional.	
2b:	Psychologist makes no attempt to	Psychologist's attempt to promote a	Psychologist promotes a culture of	Psychologist rigorously promotes a
Establishing a culture for positive	establish a culture for positive	culture for positive mental health among	positive mental health among students	culture of positive mental health
mental health with students and	mental health, either among	students and staff are partially	and staff.	among students and staff.
staff	students or staff, or between	successful.		
	students and staff.			
2c:	Testing is disorganized and poorly	Materials for testing are stored securely,	Testing is well organized, materials	Testing is highly organized and is
Organizing physical space for	suited to student evaluations.	but the center is not completely well	are stored in a secure location and are	inviting to students. Materials are
testing of students and storage of	Materials are not stored in a	organized, and materials are difficult to	available when needed.	stored in a secure location and are
materials	secure location and are difficult to	find when needed.		convenient when needed.
	find when needed.			



SELF-ASSESSMENT RUBRIC					
Psychologist	Date	Evaluator	Date		
	Domain 3: Delivery of Service				

Component	Unsatisfactory	Developing	Proficient	Distinguished
3a: Responding to referrals; consulting with teachers and administrators	Psychologist fails to consult with colleagues or to tailor evaluations to the questions raised in the referral.	Psychologist consults on a sporadic basis with colleagues, making partially successful attempts to tailor evaluations to the questions raised in the referral.	Psychologist consults frequently with colleagues, tailoring evaluations to the questions raised in the referral.	Psychologist consults frequently with colleagues, tailoring evaluations to the questions raised in the referral. Psychologist makes recommendations to colleagues to ensure comprehensive evaluations.
3b: Evaluating student needs in compliance with National Association of School Psychologists (NASP) guidelines	Psychologist resists administering evaluations, selects instruments inappropriate to the situation, or does not follow established procedures and guidelines.	Psychologist attempts to administer appropriate evaluation instruments to students but does not always follow established time lines and safeguards.	Psychologist administers appropriate evaluation instruments to students and ensures that all procedures and safeguards are faithfully adhered to.	Psychologist selects, from a broad repertoire, those assessments that are most appropriate to the referral questions and conducts information sessions with colleagues to ensure that they fully understand and comply with procedural time lines and safeguards.
3c: Participating in Individual Education Plan (IEP) meetings	Psychologist declines to participate in IEP meetings.	Psychologist participates in IEP meetings when directed to do so.	Psychologist participates in IEP meetings as needed; makes detailed recommendations for IEPs.	Psychologist participates in IEP meetings; organizes and presents IEP recommendations in an exemplary manner.
3d: Planning interventions to maximize students' likelihood of success	Psychologist fails to plan interventions suitable to students, or interventions are mismatched with the findings of the assessments.	Psychologist's plans for students are partially suitable for them or are sporadically aligned with identified needs.	Psychologist's plans for students are suitable for them and are aligned with identified needs.	Psychologist develops comprehensive plans for students, finding ways to creatively meet student needs and incorporate many related elements.
3e: Maintaining contact with physicians and community mental health service providers	Psychologist declines to maintain contact with physicians and community mental health service providers.	Psychologist maintains occasional contact with physicians and community mental health service providers.	Psychologist maintains ongoing contact with physicians and community mental health service providers as needed.	Psychologist maintains ongoing contact with physicians and community mental health service providers and initiates contacts when needed.
3f. Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness	Psychologist adheres to the plan or program, in spite of evidence of its inadequacy.	Psychologist makes modest changes in the treatment program when confronted with evidence of the need for change.	Psychologist makes revisions in the treatment program when it is needed.	Psychologist is continually seeking ways to improve the treatment program and makes changes as needed in response to student, parent, or teacher input.



SELF-ASSESSMENT RUBRIC					
Psychologist	Date	Evaluator	Date		
	Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities				

Component	Unsatisfactory	Developing	Proficient	Distinguished
4a: Reflecting on practice	Psychologist does not reflect on practice, or the reflections are inaccurate or self-serving.	Psychologist's reflection on practice is moderately accurate and objective without citing specific examples, and with only global suggestions as to how it might be improved.	Psychologist's reflection provides an accurate and objective description of practice, citing specific positive and negative characteristics. Psychologist makes some specific suggestions as to how services might be improved.	Psychologist's reflection is highly accurate and perceptive, siting specific examples of what might be improved. Psychologist draws on an extensive repertoire to suggest alternative strategies.
4b: Communicating with families	Psychologist fails to communicate with families and secure necessary permission for evaluations or communicates in an insensitive manner.	Psychologist's communication with families is partially successful; permissions are obtained, but there are occasional insensitivities to cultural and linguistic traditions.	Psychologist communicates with families and secures necessary permission for evaluations and does so in a manner sensitive to cultural and linguistic traditions.	Psychologist secures necessary permissions and communicates with families in a manner highly sensitive to cultural and linguistic traditions. Psychologist reaches out to families of students to enhance trust.
4c: Maintaining updated information in student files	Psychologist's records of students' information are in disarray; they may be missing, illegible, or stored in an insecure location.	Psychologist's records of students' information are accurate and legible and are stored in a secure location.	Psychologist's records of students' information are accurate and legible, well organized, and stored in a secure location.	Psychologist's records of students' information are accurate and legible, well organized, and stored in a secure location. They are written to be understandable to another qualified professional.
4d: Participating in a professional community	Psychologist's relationships with colleagues are negative or self-serving, and psychologist avoids being involved district and special services projects.	Psychologist's relationships with colleagues are cordial, and psychologist participates in district and special services projects when specifically requested.	Psychologist participates actively in district and special services projects and maintains positive and productive relationships with colleagues.	Psychologist makes a substantial contribution to district and special services projects and assumes leadership with colleagues.
4e: Engaging in professional development	Psychologist does not participate in professional development activities, even when such activities are clearly needed for the ongoing development of skills.	Psychologist's participation in professional development activities is limited to those that are convenient or are required.	Psychologist seeks out opportunities for professional development based on an individual assessment of need.	Psychologist actively pursues professional development opportunities and makes a substantial contribution to the profession through such activities as offering workshops to colleagues.
4f: Showing professionalism	Psychologist displays dishonesty in interactions with colleagues, students, and the public and violates principles of confidentiality.	Psychologist is honest in interactions with colleagues, students, and the public, plays a moderate advocacy role for students, and does not violate confidentiality.	Psychologist displays high standards of honesty, integrity, and confidentiality in interactions with colleagues, students, and the public, and advocates for students.	Psychologist can be counted on to hold the highest standards of honesty, integrity, and confidentiality and to advocate for students, taking a leadership role with colleagues.

GCOA-E

Park County School District #6 School Psychologist Evaluation System



Professional Growth Plan

(Required annually for each School Psychologist. Professional Growth Summative Evaluation plans will be significantly expanded and with more detail.)

Psychologist name			Position									
Date			School									
	Briefly Describe Your Professional Growth Plan for This Year											
y and the same of												
		Initial Annua	ual of Dlaw									
C 1 1D 1 1	G: ,	Initial Appro		• • • • • • • •	D (
School Psychologist	Signature	Date	Admi	Administrator Signature Date								
		Optional Mid Year Growth	Plan Review Cont	ference								
School Psychologist		Date		ninistrator Signature	Date							
Sellost 1 Sychologist	Signature	Dute	110///	inisti ato. Signature	Date							
		Summative Evalua	tion Conference									
School Psychologist	Signature	Date	Adm	inistrator Signature	Date							
, ,				5								
			l									



All Professional Growth Plans must: Be linked to the Components of Professional Practice, support district, school, grade level or department initiatives, and include the anticipated impact of goal attainment on student achievement. My Professional Growth Plan is focused on the following components of Professional Practice. (Please check all that apply.)

check all that apply.)		
Components of Professional Practice		
Domain 1: Planning and Preparation	Domain 3: Delivery of Service	
1a: Demonstrating Knowledge and Skill in Using Psychological Instruments to	3a: Responding to Referrals; Consulting with Teachers and Administrators	
Evaluate Students	3b: Evaluating Student Needs in Compliance with National Association of	
1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Child and Adolescent Development and	School Psychologists (NASP) Guidelines	
Psychopathology	3c: Participating in Individual Education Plan (IEP) meetings	
1c: Establishing Recommendations for Student IEPs Appropriate to the Setting	3d: Planning Interventions to Maximize Students' Likelihood of Success	
and the Students Served	3e: Maintaining Contact with Physicians and Community Mental Health	
1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of State and Federal Regulations and of	Service Providers	
Resources both Within and Beyond the School and District	3f: Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness	
1e: Planning the Psychology Program, Integrated with Student IEPs, to Meet the	Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities	
Needs of Individual Students	4a: Reflecting on Practice	
1f: Developing a Plan to Evaluate the Psychology Program	4b: Communicating With Families	
Domain 2: The Environment	4c: Maintaining Updated Information in Student Files	
2a: Establishing Rapport with Students	4d: Participating in a Professional Community	
2b: Establishing a Culture for Positive Mental Health with Students and Staff	4e: Engaging in Professional Development	
2c: Organizing Physical Space for Testing of Students and Storage of	4f: Showing Professionalism	
Materials		

Describe the district, school, grade level or department initiative(s) that are linked to your plan.
Describe the anticipated impact of plan attainment on student achievement.



Professional Growth Action Plan

Activity	Domain			Resources	Results	Evidence		
		Start	End					

Attach a copy of the Professional Growth Plan to the Summative Evaluation Report or to the Professional Growth Plan Evaluation Report.



Professional Growth Plan Evaluation Report

		S	chool Year						
Psychologist	Date			Evaluator				School	
Name									
Attach a copy of the Professional	Growth Plan to this documen	t. Circle ti	he rubric de	scriptor that most	nearly matc	ches the pi	lan.		
Criteria	U	D	P (Comments					
Plan Approval Process									
Plan Quality									
Plan Organization									
Rigor of Plan and Resources									
Plan Activities									
Plan Results									
Impact on Student Performance									
Sharing Results									
Summary of Professional Grown	th Plan conference discussi	on/notes:							
Student Performance Data:									
	sments: WY PAWS, NWEA							alidated), DIBEL	S
	sments: Textbook Assessme								
3. Suggested Other Perfo	rmance Measures: Student	<u> Attendanc</u>	e, Graduati	on Rates, Parent	Involvemen	ıt Rates, I	Discipline Rates		
F	V 2 2		V				D1 £ 4		
Evaluation cycle for next year	Years 2-3		Years 4+				Plan of Assistance		
			D 1 0	B 1			1		
Employment Recommendation	Retain in Position		Release fro	m Employment		Ш			
D			Dete	Г.1.				-	Data
Psychologist			Date	Evaluator					Date
Signature				Signature					

School Psychologist's signature denotes receipt of the evaluation and participation in the evaluation conference.



Summative Evaluation Report

				Scho	ol Yea	r									
Psychologist Name		Da	te				Evalı	<i>iato</i> r					School		
Evaluation cycle	e this year	Years 1-3					Year	s 4+				Plan o	f Assistan	ce	
		This Sur	nmary E	Evaluat				on the follov	wing evid	dence:					
						es of E	Evidence								
	sychologist Observation a	nd Post-Evaluat	ion Con	ference	;		\Box	Professiona							
	onal Growth Plan							Psychologi					C		
	rough/Informal Observation		lan Dan	tfalia	at a		V	Psychologi Student Per			Reflec	etive Coi	iference		
Psycholo	gist Artifacts: i.e. Action I	Research, Unit P	ian, Por	110110,	eic.		X	Student Per	riormano	ce Data					
		Rating of S	chool P	svcholo	ogist o	n the C	Compon	ents of Prof	fessional	Practice					
Components of	Professional Practice	Training of S		syenon	8151 0		sompon	enis oj i roj.	CSSTOTICIT	1 ractice					
	nning and Preparation		U	D	P	Com	nments								
1a: Demonstrat	ing Knowledge and Skill is	n Using													
	nstruments to Evaluate Stu														
	ing Knowledge of Child a	nd Adolescent													
	nd Psychopathology														
	g Recommendations for St he Setting and the Student				Ш										
	ing Knowledge of State an		$+\Box$												
	of Resources both Within														
the School and l		ana Beyona													
1e: Planning the	e Psychology Program, Int	egrated with													
	Meet the Needs of Individ														
1f: Developing a	a Plan to Evaluate the Psych	ology Program													
Domain 2: The	Environment		U	D	Р	Com	nments								
	g Rapport with Students		ΤĎ		Ō										
•					_										
2b: Establishing	g a Culture for Positive Me	ental Health													
with Students an															
	Physical Space for Testing	g of Students													
and Storage of N	Materials														

GCOA-E

Park County School District #6 School Psychologist Evaluation System



Domain 3: Delivery of Service	U	D	P	Comments		
3a: Responding to Referrals; Consulting with Teachers						
and Administrators						
3b: Evaluating Student Needs in Compliance with National						
Association of School Psychologists (NASP) Guidelines						
3c: Participating in Individual Education Plan (IEP)						
meetings						
3d: Planning Interventions to Maximize Students'						
Likelihood of Success						
3e: Maintaining Contact with Physicians and Community Mental Health Service Providers						
3f: Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness						
Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities	U	D	P	Comments		
4a: Reflecting on Practice						
4b: Communicating With Families						
4c: Maintaining Updated Information in Student Files						
4d: Participating in a Professional Community						
4e: Engaging in Professional Development						
4f: Showing Professionalism						
<i>Key to ratings:</i> $U = Unsatisfactory$, $D = Developing$, $P = Page 1$	roficie	nt, Coi	mments	required for ratings of U or D. Commen	nts also used for indicating distinguishe	d
performance.						
Summary of evaluation conference discussion/notes:						
Ct. J. d. D. of D. of						
Student Performance Data:	MAD 7	7 X	T 4	CT EVDI ODE ACT DI AN ACT District	A A (:f l: d d) DIREIG	
1. Suggested Valid Assessments: WY PAWS, NWEAT						
 Suggested Other Assessments: Textbook Assessme Suggested Other Performance Measures: Student 						
5. Suggested Other Ferjormance Measures. Student	Attenu	ince, C	raaua	ion Raies, Fareni Involvemeni Raies, Dis	scipiine Kaies	
Employment Recommendation Retain in Employ	yment			Release fr	rom Employment	
Evaluation cycle Years 2-	.3			Years 4+	☐ Plan of Assistance	Ιп
for next year						
Psychologist			Date	Evaluator		Date
Signature	,			Signature		

School Psychologist's Signature denotes receipt of the evaluation and participation in the evaluation conference.

GCOA-E



Directions for completing this form: Click in the box and type. The box will expand as needed. The other evaluation items will scroll further down the page.

Due Dates:

- Years 1-3: The first Post-Observation Conference and Summative Evaluation Report must be completed and on file in the Personnel Office by December 15 of each year and the second Post-Observation Conference and Summative Evaluation Report completed and on file in the Personnel Office by April 5 of each year.
- Years 4+: One Post-Observation and Summative Evaluation Report must be completed and on file in the Personnel Office by April 5 of each year.
- Plan of Assistance: At least two Post-Observation Conferences and Summative Evaluation Reports for School Psychologists on a Plan of Assistance must be completed and on file in the Personnel Office by April 5 of each year.
- School Psychologist Comments: Psychologist comments, which are optional, are due no later than April 10.

Attach the following Documents:

- Professional Growth Plan
- Psychologist Comments if applicable
- Plan of Assistance if applicable
- Psychologist comments (optional)



Plan of Assistance

Psychologist Name				Date			
School				Assignment			
Evaluator Evaluator				Assignment			
Evaluator							
Please check the domains	and co	mpon	ents th	nat are Unsatisfactory, Developing or Proficient.			
Components of Professional Practice							
Domain 1: Planning and Preparation	U	D	P	Domain 3: Delivery of Service	U	D	P
1a: Demonstrating Knowledge and Skill in Using				3a: Responding to Referrals; Consulting with Teachers and			
Psychological Instruments to Evaluate Students				Administrators			_
1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Child and Adolescent				3b: Evaluating Student Needs in Compliance with National			
Development and Psychopathology				Association of School Psychologists (NASP) Guidelines			
1c: Establishing Recommendations for Student IEPs				3c: Participating in Individual Education Plan (IEP)			
Appropriate to the Setting and the Students Served	<u> </u>			meetings			
1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of State and Federal				3d: Planning Interventions to Maximize Students'			
Regulations and of Resources both Within and Beyond the				Likelihood of Success			
School and District	 						
1e: Planning the Psychology Program, Integrated with	⊔		Ш	3e: Maintaining Contact with Physicians and Community		Ш	\sqcup
Student IEPs, to Meet the Needs of Individual Students	 			Mental Health Service Providers			
1f: Developing a Plan to Evaluate the Psychology Program		Ť		3f: Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness			
Domain 2: The Environment	U	D	P	Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities	U	D	P
2a: Establishing Rapport with Students	 	片片	 	4a: Reflecting on Practice	 	片片	井
2b: Establishing a Culture for Positive Mental Health with	📙		ш	4b: Communicating With Families	┞	닏⊢	井
Students and Staff	 		_	4c: Maintaining Updated Information in Student Files	 	ᅡH	井
2c: Organizing Physical Space for Testing of Students and	⊔		ш	4d: Participating in a Professional Community	 		
Storage of Materials	<u> </u>			4e: Engaging in Professional Development	 	ᅡH	- - - - - - - - - - - - - -
				4f: Showing Professionalism	Ш	Ш	
		God	ds for	Improvement			
Clearly state the goals for improvement Fynlain what	the Sch			Improvement gist needs to demonstrate and define what the expectations are f	for impr	ovement	
1.	the ben	00113	ycholog	gist needs to demonstrate and define what the expectations are f	or impre	<i>Jvemeni</i>	•
1.							
							ŀ
2.							
3.							



Recomn	mended .	resources needed to assist in meeting goals:										
Goal		Activities designed to help Psychologist meet the goal	Start	End	Re	sults						
1.			Date	Date								
1.												
2.												
3.												
	Plan Review Dates The School Psychologist and evaluator must meet at least monthly to review the progress of performance on the goals for improvement.											
Da	ate	Summary of Progress	noniniy io i	review ine	Psychologist Signature	Evaluator Signature						
the comp the Psyc	Determination of Employment Status School Psychologists may be placed on a Plan of Assistance for no more than two consecutive years. If the Psychologist is proficient in all domains and in the majority of the components under each domain, they will be moved to the appropriate evaluation cycle. If the Psychologist does not meet the specifications on the Plan of Assistance, the Psychologist will be recommended for termination of employment. The Psychologist must receive a completed copy of this plan when it is written. Attach a copy of the Plan of Assistance to the Summative Evaluation Report.											
		School Psychologist Status Completed at the	last Sum	mative E	Evaluation Report conference for	the year.						
	Place Psychologist in appropriate cycle of counselor evaluation Signatures											
		ue Psychologist on Plan of Assistance for the following year wo years)	Psycho	ologist		Date						
	Psycho	logist is recommended for termination of employment	Evalua	tor		Date						